KEVIN D. ASH, as MANAGER of . Civil Action No. 1:20mc39

ELLISDALE CONSTRUCTION, LLC, .
MANAGER of A&W COURTHOUSE .
COMMONS, LLC, and MANAGER of .
A&W LANSDOWNE, LLC, .

Plaintiff,

•

vs. . Alexandria, Virginia

December 23, 2020

1

RICHARD E. WARD, II, . 2:56 p.m.

.

Defendant.

.

TRANSCRIPT OF TRO HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEONIE M. BRINKEMA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
(Via Teleconference)

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: DEREK H. SWANSON, ESQ.

Dunlap Bennett & Ludwig PLLC 8003 Franklin Farms Drive

Suite 220

Richmond, VA 23229

and

THOMAS M. DUNLAP, ESQ.

Dunlap Bennett & Ludwig PLLC 8300 Boone Boulevard, Suite 550

Vienna, VA 22182

FOR THE DEFENDANT: JASON E. HICKMAN, ESQ.

Compton & Duling

12701 Marblestone Drive

Suite 350

Woodbridge, VA 22192

ALSO PRESENT: JANE IMPERATORE, ESQ.

(Pages 1 - 40)

COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOGRAPHIC NOTES

				2	2
1	OFFICIAL COURT	REPORTER:	ANNELIESE J. THOMSON, RDR, U.S. District Court, Third 401 Courthouse Square	CRR Floor	
			Alexandria, VA 22314		
3			(703)299-8595		
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

```
3
 1
                         PROCEEDINGS
 2
               THE COURT: All right, counsel, are you there for the
 3
    matter of Kevin D. Ash, as Manager of Ellisdale Construction,
 4
     LLC; Manager of A&W Courthouse Commons, LLC; and Manager of A&W
 5
     Lansdowne, LLC, versus Edward (sic) E. Ward, II?
               Mr. Swanson, are you there?
 6
 7
                              (No response.)
               THE COURT: How about Mr. Dunlap?
 8
 9
                              (No response.)
10
               Ms. Imperatore, are you there?
11
                              (No response.)
12
               THE COURT: Hello, is anybody there?
13
               THE COURT REPORTER: Your Honor, this is the court
14
     reporter. I'm here.
15
               THE CLERK: I'm here as well, Judge.
               MR. SWANSON: Your Honor, this is Derek Swanson for
16
17
     the plaintiff.
18
               THE COURT: All right, Mr. Swanson.
               Do we have Ms. Imperatore?
19
20
                              (No response.)
21
               MR. SWANSON: We're a few minutes early, Your Honor.
22
     She, she is planning to join. Also, my partner, Tom Dunlap,
23
     will be joining on behalf of the plaintiff.
24
               THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll just wait another
25
    minute.
```

```
4
 1
               All right, is that Ms. Imperatore?
 2
               MR. DUNLAP: No, Your Honor. This is Tom Dunlap.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. So this is Civil Action 20 --
 4
     why is this filed as an MC? Have you not filed a complaint in
 5
     this case? Mr. Swanson, have you filed a complaint?
               MR. SWANSON: No, Your Honor. I conferred with the
 6
 7
     clerk about that. There's -- under Rule 65, a TRO can be
 8
    brought either under a -- through a motion with an affidavit or
 9
     by a verified complaint, and we elected to file as a motion
10
     with affidavit, and the clerk instructed for it to be docketed
11
     as a miscellaneous case.
12
               THE COURT: All right. That's not normally -- I
13
     mean, I guess the rule permits it, but that's not normally how
14
     it's done, and so that needs to be cleaned up quickly.
15
               Ms. Imperatore, are you there yet?
16
               MS. IMPERATORE: Good afternoon. I am.
                                                        Hello, this
17
     is Jane Imperatore.
18
               THE COURT: All right, Ms. Imperatore.
19
               Now, we're on the record, just again for the record,
20
     this is 1:20mc39, a civil miscellaneous matter at this point.
21
     I've had a chance very briefly to read, I've read the motion,
22
     I've read the affidavits, and I've looked briefly at these
23
     agreements, business agreements. Obviously, this came on
24
     really, what, a half an hour ago, so it's not digested, and I'm
25
    not a big fan of TROs pushed up against the holiday weekend
```

when the other side has not had a chance to respond.

And I understand, ma'am, that you're not representing

Mr. Ward, but there has to be some kind of a history here.

This thing doesn't just pop out of nowhere, and I don't have any idea what the other side of this situation is. So as representative of the LLCs, can you at least tell me what your

7 understanding is of the dispute?

MS. IMPERATORE: Yes. So I have represented this LLC, Ellisdale, for more than ten years, probably close to 13 or 14 years, and know both the principals very well. I understand there was a business -- there's a business dispute between them that has most recently culminated in the withdrawal of funds that are identified in this TRO pleading.

Kevin Ash, who is represented, obviously, by the Dunlap firm here, contacted me when he found out that happened. Rich Ward contacted me, and I said to both of them, "I can't get in the middle of a dispute between you guys. I represent the company. You both need separate counsel."

So what I understand is that there is sort of an ongoing business dispute between them, and this action was taken by Rich in that context, Rich Ward.

THE COURT: But you represent the company. As I understand, the only damage or the only entity that suffered potential injury are these companies, who according to this motion will be unable to make payroll and other obligations and

thereby suffer a loss of reputation in the community, have financial problems, etc.

So it strikes me as though the party most of interest actually would be the entities whom you represent.

MS. IMPERATORE: I don't disagree with that, Your Honor. As I understand, there's -- there are other funds at issue that were in a separate account that were a predecessor sort of concern here, and that is -- that's part of what's, what's at issue between the parties.

I don't disagree with anything that you've just said, and I understand that these funds are in part to pay bills that are, you know -- excuse me, checks that have already been cut, and that's going to be a big problem with the company. So I don't, I don't disagree with any of that.

THE COURT: But yet your companies are not business partners at this point as a litigant. It seems to me, I mean, if the companies' assets are being depleted to the extent that you will not be -- that the checks written by Ellisdale, for example, will bounce because there are not sufficient funds to cover them, that's a problem for those entities, and yet the entities themselves are not bringing suit against Mr. Ward. So I don't quite understand the, the lineup of the parties here.

MR. SWANSON: Your Honor --

MS. IMPERATORE: Just to be clear -- I'm sorry, go ahead, please.

MR. SWANSON: No, no, go ahead, Jane. Sorry.

MS. IMPERATORE: And I -- my, my issue was one of my personal ethical obligation, understanding that there is a conflict between the two managers of the company, and they're both, you know, 50 percent owners each, that I had to step out and recommend that they both get separate counsel to address that. So that was, that was my -- what I said to both of them.

THE COURT: But -- I don't, I don't disagree with you

about the ethics here, but there are -- and I know the nature of an LLC is a strange beast. Nevertheless, they are still an entity in this case.

MS. IMPERATORE: Right.

THE COURT: And furthermore, in terms of the other two entities that are mentioned here, Courthouse Commons and Lansdowne, there are other people involved in some of those, a fellow named Schwartzberg who appears to have invested as much money as Mr. Ash and Mr. Ward in, I think it's Lansdowne, correct? So, I mean, there's a slightly different structure to those entities.

But the bottom line is and the thing I was most interested in finding out is in terms of the amounts of money that are alleged to have been taken by Mr. Ward, does that reduce those corporate accounts to zero or just diminish them but not totally wipe them out? Do you know that, ma'am?

MS. IMPERATORE: I don't know that. It is my

understanding there are other accounts, but I don't have any optics into what, you know, what those other accounts are, how much is in them, how they're titled.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Swanson, do you want to address that?

MR. SWANSON: Yes, Your Honor. So I believe with respect to the Ellisdale accounts, the cash and collateral accounts that are held in the MVB Bank, that that did wipe those out or almost completely wipe those out.

The same is true for the, the accounts that are in the Courthouse and Lansdowne. It was probably somewhat -- somewhere around 90, 90 percent of the money in those accounts.

There is another account that is company money for Ellisdale that was invested in an investment account, stocks and bonds. That -- you know, we're trying our best to mitigate any potential damage. We are in the process of liquidating that account to try to, you know, make those funds available.

We're taking -- we can't really put that back into the, into the bank, so we're taking steps to put that into a trust with a third-party law firm to hold it and permit money only to be distributed with, you know, both managers' permission, written permission.

The, the bigger issue, though, for Ellisdale is that it needs all of that revenue as collateral for its very high-dollar bond requirements, which, you know, are currently

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
around 45-50 million, and then there's going to be another one
very shortly for another 50 million, and all of that will be
materially prejudiced and could likely fall through as, you
know, without, without all of the money that's supposed to be
there at year end.
          THE COURT: Well, again, I'm working in the dark on
this case, and there are things about it that I am very
uncomfortable about. What is it that broke down between
Mr. Ward and Mr. Ash? What's the problem?
          MR. SWANSON: Well, well, there seems to be two
problems. One, one is that it was a misunderstanding about the
money that was placed into this investment account. It was
done so by Mr. Ash in good faith just to earn more money on
that money in the company. It was under the name of the
company that was a subaccount under his own investment account.
I think that was done for convenience.
          There's been -- you know, there was some confusion
about that, and I think that has created a large part of this
dispute.
         There's, you know --
          THE COURT: Mr. Swanson, let me stop you. Let me --
I want more detail about that.
          MR. SWANSON: Okay.
          THE COURT: So you're saying, you're saying that the
plaintiff, Mr. Ash, took -- approximately how much did he take
```

out of, what, one of the Ellisdale accounts? Is that correct?

```
1
               MR. SWANSON: Yeah.
                                    It was -- yeah. There was an
 2
     Ellisdale CD that matured, and he moved the principal of the
 3
     CD, $2 million, into this account. It was done so
 4
     transparently, and, you know, it was accomplished by the CFO of
 5
     the company, and, you know, told -- told to Mr. Ward, and I
     think there was just some confusion perhaps over, you know,
 6
 7
     whether that was done in good faith or bad faith. It's been,
 8
     it's been communicated that it was done in good faith, and it
 9
     earned several hundred thousand dollars for the company while
10
     those funds were invested.
11
               We're, we're taking immediate action to have that
12
     liquidated and try to resolve that issue for Mr. Ward by having
13
     those funds liquidated and placed into trusts, you know, such
14
     that he can feel more secure that he is in control of those.
15
               I think this is kind of a self-help thing that he
     did, you know, feeling like he needed to gain some leverage,
16
17
     and, you know, we're -- we would love to work this out with
18
     him. It's just not been possible because, you know, we've been
19
     advised that he has been consulting with counsel, and we have
20
    not yet been contacted by any counsel.
21
               THE COURT: All right, but -- all right. When was
22
     the -- the money that was taken out of Ellisdale, that is, this
23
     CD that has matured -- right?
24
               MR. SWANSON: That's correct.
25
               THE COURT: $2 million CD taken out, when was that
```

```
Case 1:20-mc-00039-LMB-MSN Document 5 Filed 01/21/21 Page 11 of 40 PageID# 182
                                                                      11
    1
        done?
    2
                  MR. SWANSON: I think it was in the early part of the
    3
        2020 calendar year.
    4
                  THE COURT: All right. And was Mr. Ward -- all
    5
        right. But this CD was in the name of Ellisdale? Was it
        formally part of the Ellisdale accounts? Is that correct?
    6
    7
                  MR. SWANSON: Well, the, the CD was in -- was part of
    8
        an Ellisdale CD account, and now it is -- and so hopefully,
    9
        maybe even later today or tomorrow was, you know, invested in
   10
        an investment account. It was placed in the name of Ellisdale,
   11
        but it was, it was sort of a subaccount under Mr. Ash's
   12
        existing, you know, investment accounts, and, you know, again,
   13
        that was done in good faith and transparently.
   14
                  THE COURT: Well, when you say "transparently" --
   15
                  MR. SWANSON: Not --
                  THE COURT: I'm sorry, when you say "transparently,"
   16
   17
        was Mr. Ward advised before it was done or after it was a fait
   18
        accompli?
                  MR. SWANSON: Well, I can't speak to the timing, but
   19
   20
        I think it's been long known and that -- and wasn't in any way
        kept secret. It was, you know, just part of the normal, you
   21
   22
        know, business and, and normal advising, and, I mean, he's been
   23
        provided documents about it, and, you know, this, this all --
```

everything seemed to be okay until, until just this past

Friday, and he -- Mr. Ward sort of indicated, yeah, everything

24

25

is fine, and then he marched off to the bank and, you know, set up accounts in his own name and withdrew, you know, most of the money, remaining money out of the, out of these companies', you know, cash accounts and the, and the accounts that -- there's an account for Ellisdale of 1.4 million that is a bond collateral account that, that secures the bond -- the bonds that they have on their large construction contracts.

THE COURT: What leads you to believe that he wouldn't make monies available to cover among the checks that you've claimed that would be dishonored if the funds were not there?

MR. SWANSON: Well, you know, he might -- he may be willing to do that if we can, you know, have appropriate negotiations with him, but the problem is that that really doesn't solve the problem. The bigger problem is going to be that this, you know, they have to maintain almost all of this, this money, you know, to, to have, you know, appropriate -- to be able to secure the bonding, and, you know, we, we're ready to try to -- when he gets counsel, we can -- we're going to work with him and try to get this all wrapped up.

I think the problem is that the bank is going to unfreeze this money probably tomorrow, and at that point, it's going to be too late, and so what we're looking for with the TRO is just something that we can provide to the bank so that they can continue to hold this, you know, you know, for a few

```
more days, maybe until after the holidays, where the companies
 1
 2
     can get, you know, where we can speak with counsel and
 3
    negotiate.
 4
               You know, we have agreements ready to go when he, you
 5
     know, has counsel, and we can present them, where we can kind
     of get everybody to go back to the status quo and then, and
 6
 7
     then, and then look forward to resolving the business dispute.
 8
               We're really just looking to keep those funds in the,
 9
     in the accounts, you know, temporarily so that, so that these,
10
     you know, disastrous financial and business consequences don't
11
     occur, you know, tomorrow.
12
               THE COURT: Well, the other --
13
               MR. DUNLAP: Judge, this is --
14
               THE COURT: Wait, hold on.
15
               MR. DUNLAP: Sorry, Your Honor. Sorry.
16
               THE COURT: Mr. Swanson, you said that there were two
17
     problems. One was this investment account.
18
               MR. SWANSON: Yeah.
19
               THE COURT: What is the other problem that you
20
     understand that, that broke up the relationship between Mr. Ash
21
     and Mr. Ward?
22
               MR. SWANSON: Well, the other, the other problem, I
23
     think, is that there's been a third party who's expressed some
24
     interest in purchasing Ellisdale and, and maybe these other
25
     companies as well, and I think that the parties, Mr. Ward and
```

Mr. Ash, don't necessarily agree on how the companies should be valued.

So -- but I think if you were to ask Ms. Imperatore, and I believe I have, I think that she would say that's sort of a -- that's a secondary issue, and the bigger issue is, is the perception that the funds that were transferred into this investment account in good faith for, for the benefit of the company, that that was somehow, you know, done in bad faith or done to exclude, you know, Mr. Ward from that money, which, which isn't true, and, of course, we're, you know, we're working, you know, right now to move those funds -- have them liquidated and move them into a trust that --

THE COURT: You know, it's funny because if part of the problem here or the main problem seems to be that the company, Ellisdale, has to keep a certain amount of money in its accounts to keep its bond -- its bonding situation under control, then the removal of \$2 million from your account done by your client earlier on in the year would seem to be, contradict that concern, and I can understand without even having anybody here representing Mr. Ward why he would look upon that with great concern.

And it sounds like this is almost a tit-for-tat kind of case. It sounds like he basically took about the same amount of money out of the investment funds.

But this seems rather --

1 MR. SWANSON: Well --2 THE COURT: This seems rather silly. I don't 3 understand why mature businesspeople would be in this type of a 4 situation. 5 MR. DUNLAP: And, Your Honor --THE COURT: Yeah. 6 7 MR. DUNLAP: Your Honor, this, this is Tom Dunlap. 8 This is Tom Dunlap, Your Honor. Just, just to put a little --9 so I was talking with Mr. Ash, one of the two partners, 10 Mr. Ward's other partner, earlier this year about the sale of 11 this company, and from what I understand from Mr. Ash, the, the 12 transfer that he did was based on his cash planning. The money 13 was sitting in an account with MVB Bank that was earning less 14 than a quarter percent interest, and he essentially moved these 15 over to -- in Ellisdale's federal tax ID number into a fidelity account outside of the bank where he could earn a higher rate 16 17 of return. I think they were fixed term CDs or something like 18 that, and it gave him maybe 5 percent interest, which is a lot 19 more than the quarter percent interest. 20 And he disclosed that. They have quarterly meetings, 21 from what I understand, and Ms. Imperatore can verify that, and 22 I know their last quarterly meeting was last Friday, when all 23 of this happened at the end of the meeting, and Mr. Ward gave 24 no indication to Mr. Ash. He said, "Hey, thanks for the 25 meeting," and then walked out and went straight to the bank.

He had made an appointment to open a personal account, which is what he did, and moved the funds into his name.

So the issue really is not that we want to take the funds from Mr. Ward or Mr. Ash. It's that they -- we just want to preserve the status quo, keep them in the company, and Mr. Ash and the companies are perfectly happy to have a third-party trustee, any attorney that Mr. Ward would agree to manage the funds, but just to cover the checks that are going to bounce and just to have the funds in there as of December 31.

I talked to Mr. Ash about this a little bit this morning. The financial snapshot that they take apparently for the insurer, for the bonding company, that money has to be sitting in that account as of the 31st, and Mr. Ash -- the other thing to understand background-wise, and I think

Ms. Imperatore can speak more to this, but Mr. Ash has been running the company for the last 14 years, and in the last ten or so years, Mr. Ward has been essentially a silent partner.

He has not been active in the company from what I understand.

And again, Jane, please correct that.

So, so Mr. Ash is the one who's been planning and working with the CFO to figure out, okay, we have X amount of dollars. That's what we need to satisfy our bonding requirements.

And the whole time, the whole 16 years, Mr. Ward had

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- the ability to take money out and do whatever he's wanted as a silent partner, but he's not never been, at least not recently, the last ten years or so, been directly involved in the 4 management of construction projects.
 - The company -- he's moved to -- he's lived in Utah for a number of years now, and all the projects are D.C. metro area: D.C., Arlington, I think Lansdowne, Fairfax.
 - So -- but that's kind of the situation how we ended up here, a silent partner and then an active business partner, and they -- you know, despite the quarterly meetings, my understanding, our challenge and the reason we had to go the TRO route is Mr. Ward has said to various people, "I've got a lawyer," but he won't tell us who the lawyer is or who we can talk to.

If we could call and talk to a lawyer, we probably could have just entered into this agreement and said: Mr. Ash won't touch the money, you won't touch the money, and we'll put it back in the account, and here's a lawyer that everybody agrees on, and we even have an agreement drafted that says both parties agree that this lawyer authorizes all distributions, etc., and all the, all the money from everywhere is going back in there, but we can't even speak to him, and the problem is he knows that, so we, we had to file the TRO to give the bank ability not to let him take the money out of -- he was trying to send the money outside of the bank, and they've held it for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 18 internal fraud investigation right now, but without something more, it's going to go out of the bank, and then it will be completely gone. So that -- that's our challenge, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Imperatore, do you want to tell me your view on all of this? MS. IMPERATORE: You know, I think, I think Tom's summary was, was certainly fairly accurate in terms of the relationship between the parties, and I think, you know, Derek also pinpointed the issues that have occurred. Starting -- I think that that other transfer was in the summer, as I understand it, you know, starting from the summer, and then my sense that tensions have continued to rise through the fall as this offer, you know, to sell the company has come in, and, you know, the, the partners appear to have diverged on their views on many, on many of these issues but, importantly, you know, the management of the company at this time and any sale terms. So I -- and I think -- but I do think this issue, this transfer by Mr. Ash is Concern No. 1 to Mr. Ward followed closely by the sale terms. THE COURT: All right.
- 20 21
- 22
- 23 MS. IMPERATORE: And as I understand -- I'm sorry, I 24 did want to say one thing. I do think that the transfer to the 25 separate account was in a different EIN than the companies',

```
and I think that was part of the concerns raised by Mr. Ward --
 1
 2
               MR. SWANSON: Okay.
               MS. IMPERATORE: -- with respect to that transfer.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Now --
 5
               MS. IMPERATORE: But again, I haven't seen any of
     those documents. That's just been what's, what's relayed to
 6
 7
     me.
 8
               THE COURT: Do you know who Mr. Ward's attorney is?
 9
               MS. IMPERATORE: So he told me this -- today that he
10
     had called Compton & Duling and that they would be getting in
11
     touch, you know, getting in touch with Mr. Ash's counsel. I
12
     don't know when, and when I spoke to Derek probably, you know,
13
     half-hour before this call, I understood he had not yet heard
14
     from them.
15
               THE COURT: All right.
               MR. SWANSON: That's correct.
16
17
               THE COURT: This would have been a much more valuable
18
    hearing if Mr. Ward were on the phone. Are you-all really
19
     afraid to call him and see if he'll dial in?
20
               MS. IMPERATORE: I'm not, I'm not afraid to call him.
21
     I'm not afraid at all. I'd be happy to call if you'd like me
22
     to try.
23
               MR. SWANSON: And, Your Honor, Mr. Ash is available
24
     as well.
              I could have both Mr. Ash and Mr. Ward -- I don't
25
    know if we can get Mr. Ward, but I know we can get Mr. Ash on
```

```
20
 1
    as well.
 2
               THE COURT: Well --
 3
               MR. SWANSON: Certainly you could hear from each of
 4
     them.
 5
               THE COURT: Mr. Ward is the one I'm more concerned
     about because you're asking me to issue -- I mean, I don't
 6
 7
     know, for example, whether -- what kind of, if any, disability
 8
     this would put him in if we were to freeze the, the assets.
 9
     doesn't sound as though he has bills that are coming due
10
     imminently. I mean, it's this matter he's trying to protect
11
    himself somehow. It's hard to tell.
12
               So why don't we do this: Ms. Imperatore, do you
13
     think you could reach Mr. Ward and tell him what's going on and
14
     that the judge would like to hear from his view, his side of
15
     things?
16
               MS. IMPERATORE: Yes, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: All right.
18
               MS. IMPERATORE: I can call him as soon as we're
19
     done.
20
               THE COURT: Why don't we do this: We're going to
21
     go -- we'll break for 15 minutes. At 3:45, I will re-set up
22
     this -- use the, use the same numbers that you-all have, all
23
     right? That gives my court reporter a couple of minutes to
24
     rest her fingers.
25
               And we'll try to see if we can work this thing out
```

```
21
 1
     this evening, all right?
 2
               MS. IMPERATORE: Understood.
 3
               MR. SWANSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
               MS. IMPERATORE: Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: All right, 3:45.
               MR. SWANSON: Good. Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Thank you. All right, we're going off
 8
     right now.
 9
               MS. IMPERATORE: Thank you. Bye-bye.
10
               MR. SWANSON: Thank you.
11
                (Recess from 3:21 p.m., until 3:45 p.m.)
12
               THE COURT: I hope we're all back on. This is again
13
     the matter of Kevin D. Ash as Manager of Ellisdale
14
     Construction, LLC, et al., versus Richard E. Ward, II.
15
               Mr. Ward, are you on the phone?
16
               MR. WARD: I am.
17
               THE COURT: Very good. And do you have any of your
18
     counsel with you on the phone?
19
               MR. WARD: I'm hoping Jason is on the phone as we
20
     speak.
21
               MR. HICKMAN: Yes. Judge Brinkema, Jason Hickman.
22
     I'm on the phone. I'm not sure what's going on, but I'm on the
23
    phone.
24
               THE COURT: Very good. Mr. Hickman, what firm are
25
    you with, please?
```

MR. HICKMAN: Compton & Duling, in Prince William 1 2 County. 3 THE COURT: All right, great. 4 Well, what I have before me is a miscellaneous matter 5 that's been filed by Mr. Ash in his capacity as manager of the three LLCs: Ellisdale Construction, Courthouse Commons, and 6 7 A&W Lansdowne, and in the temporary restraining order, he's 8 asking me to issue an order that would prevent the bank where 9 you-all have your accounts from allowing the monies that you 10 have withdrawn from accounts of these three entities to go --11 to leave those entities' accounts and to go to your account, 12 and I have had about a 15-minute, 20-minute conversation with 13 Mr. Ash's counsel, Mr. Swanson and Mr. Dunlap, and 14 Ms. Imperatore has also been on the line discussing this, and 15 it struck me that I wanted to hear your side of the case, 16 because what's been represented to the Court is that this 17 essentially is the kind of dire emergency that requires a 18 temporary restraining order because if the funds are removed 19 from those accounts, these entities, number one, will be 20 unable -- the checks that they have issued will bounce because 21 there'll be insufficient funds to cover them, and secondly, 22 that the monies removed would deplete the balances in the 23 accounts to such an extent that it would create problems for the -- your bonding situation. 24 25 I think -- Mr. Swanson, is that a fair description of

- 1 | what we've talked about?
- MR. SWANSON: Yes, Your Honor. Derek Swanson. This
- 3 is a -- that is a fair description.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. And just so you-all know, we
- 5 are on the record. My court reporter, Ms. Thomson, is able to
- 6 take this down, so this is an official on-the-record
- 7 conversation, or discussion.
- 8 Mr. Ward, I'd like to hear your side of the, of the
- 9 story and to find out whether you agree that if these funds are
- 10 | not in those accounts, it's going to become a major problem for
- 11 these entities.
- MR. WARD: Sure. I have to go back to a time period
- 13 where I believe, maybe it's not the beginning of the problem,
- 14 but where it started was back in March. There was \$4 million
- 15 | in MVB that was sitting in there for a bonding capacity.
- 16 I'm just curious, is -- do we -- do I know everybody
- 17 | that's on the phone?
- 18 THE COURT: You may not. Why don't we have -- the
- 19 | court people you don't need to know. My court reporter,
- 20 Ms. Thomson, is there. I've got court staff on the phone.
- I assume we have Mr. Swanson and Mr. Dunlap again for
- 22 Mr. Ash. Is that correct?
- 23 MR. SWANSON: Yes, Your Honor, Derek Swanson. That
- 24 | is correct. And we also have invited to join Mr. Ash.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Ash is there.

```
24
 1
               We have --
 2
               MR. ASH: Yes, I'm here.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. We have Ms. Imperatore. And
 4
     it's going to be important that you-all say your name before
 5
     you speak so that my court reporter is able to attribute the
     correct statements to the correct speaker.
 6
 7
               And so, ma'am, we do have you on the phone, correct,
 8
     for the entities?
               MS. IMPERATORE: Yes, Your Honor. Jane Imperatore is
 9
10
    here.
11
               THE COURT: All right, I believe that's
12
     it. Is there anybody who's not court staff who's on this call?
13
                              (No response.)
14
               THE COURT: No. That's it, Mr. Ward.
15
               MR. WARD: Okay. Thank you. March -- mid-March,
16
     COVID hit. Ellisdale Construction did not have a lot of work.
17
     There was discussions about potentially shutting down.
18
     Ellisdale, it didn't go much further than that.
19
               And I said to Kevin, I said, "We have a lot of cash.
20
     The market is way down. We should potentially invest that,
21
     make Ellisdale some money."
22
               And he said, "No, I'm going to wait for another dip
23
     in the market."
24
               And I said, "Oh, okay," you know.
25
               And then a month or so later, and I don't have dates
```

at this point, Kevin said, "I'm going to take some of the money and invest it for Ellisdale."

And I said, "Okay. Cool. I'm glad we're on board with that plan."

COVID hits, and we're all quarantining, and I'm in Utah, and Kevin is in Virginia, and, you know, PPP loans and all sorts of other stuff are going on, the full chaos of COVID hits, and then somewhere along the line, it becomes aware -- I become aware that the money actually is not invested for Ellisdale, that the money is actually in an account attached to Kevin Ash's Social Security number.

I asked Kevin a few times gently about potentially seeing statements, and he said, "Yep," and then he never sent them. So I'm increasingly getting nervous about the relationship and the fact that he now has money that would be qualified as a distribution, which would be on -- against our corporate rules to take uneven distribution, and I'm getting sort of pushed in the corner in a lot of other aspects of the company and being -- other employees are being told, you know, certain things.

And so I'm increasingly getting nervous, but I'm optimistic, and we hire a business coach to sit down to talk through some things about potentially new hires, and I know that the end of the year is the time where we need to have as much money in the bank as possible, and in the past, that has

been one of my jobs is to make sure that we have as much money in the bank as possible.

It appears that we asked -- our CFO asked Kevin for a statement from the Ellisdale account at CIVC, and Kevin forwards a transaction statement to me and to the CFO, and it's got no account information, it's got no anything. It's just a very cryptic transaction statement that shows nothing, how much money is in the account or anything.

At some point, it's becoming clear that Kevin is not planning on putting the money back into the account, but he maintains in many e-mails and texts that it's in an EDC account, it's in an EDC account, it's in an EDC subaccount, and so I'm hoping that for the benefit of the company, he puts the money back and that, you know, we can sit down with our business coach and work things out and move forward, but in the meantime, Kevin has given himself a raise without saying anything to me. He has taken a bonus for a vacant position at the company without saying anything to me. He has raised his auto allowance without saying anything to me and not really doing things that are very partner-like.

And then last week, we have a meeting set up on Friday, and I had the gut feeling that if I didn't take an equal distribution that Kevin took, that my fear was that Kevin was going to take it all, and, and I know that because there are certain things that have gone on that I can prove that have

think he liked that.

Kevin starting another company, actually trying to work for somebody that's trying to buy -- you know, in the meantime, Kevin wanted to sell our company, but the offer showed Kevin only selling my shares, and when I got involved and said, "If I'm -- my shares would be sold, I'll manage those," and I don't

And so I felt scared, scared for, scared for myself, scared for the company and what direction it was heading, and I decided that, that I needed to take a withdrawal. I have not -- obviously, if that money is frozen, and I would still like to -- and the amount of money that I took, I left money in there that was enough to pay the checks that are written, to pay the employees for over a month, and I stand ready to honor any further commitments that we have as partnerships to loans or anything, but, but the offer I made this morning to the bank -- break a CD, pay off the collateral -- would solve the immediate problems of the companies so that we as business partners could sit down and negotiate potentially our split-up.

And our split-up requires, it's involved in three or four or five other entities. So my goal in this process is to really protect the innocent, which means pay our subs and pay our employees; to be fair financially both to myself and to Kevin; and to exit this relationship -- business relationship as gracefully as possible.

I knew that that wasn't viable if all of the -- if

- 1 Kevin took again more money out than he has already taken.
- THE COURT: All right. I'm glad we heard your side
- 3 of the story because I felt that there was most likely, you
- 4 know, a different side.
- 5 It's a sad case because this appears to be a,
- 6 basically a corporate breakup, much like a divorce between a
- 7 | long-married couple.
- 8 MR. WARD: Yes, ma'am.
- 9 THE COURT: I'm sorry you-all have reached that
- 10 point. The bottom line is I'm not granting a temporary
- 11 restraining order. I do not find based upon what I've now
- 12 heard that the equities ally so strongly in the plaintiff's
- 13 direction.
- 14 What has happened today, however, is I finally have
- 15 | you-all in one space talking to each other. Mr. Swanson and
- 16 Mr. Dunlap have represented to me that they are completely
- comfortable, I believe, in working something out with that CD,
- and they've suggested and they apparently have worked on
- 19 | something of a draft document, which I don't think you've had a
- 20 chance to see, Mr. Ward or Mr. Hickman, creating perhaps a
- 21 | third-party custodian of the funds to make sure that -- what
- 22 | you have indicated, Mr. Ward, and that is, that the money would
- 23 be made available to cover all of the obligations of these
- 24 entities to third parties and to make sure that the entities'
- 25 fiscal status is not damaged while you-all work out the

- resolution of divorce and the equitable distribution of the property.

 Mr. Hickman, I know you're brand new to this
- apparently, but from what conversations you've had in the past
 with Mr. Ward, do you think that some kind of a third-party
 custodian or, you know, some neutral holding the funds or
 having, quote, control of these funds is something that you-all
 could live with?
 - MR. HICKMAN: Yeah. I would need to talk to, to
 Mr. Ward to confirm exactly -- again, I'm late to the game
 here. I only just a few minutes ago got an e-mail from
 Mr. Swanson that sent me the filing, so at least I have that
 now, and I've only started speaking to Rich about this this
 morning, so I'm very late to the game.

I've represented Mr. Ward in a number of matters, real estate matters over the years, so I'm familiar with him and familiar with the fact that we would certainly like to resolve this.

THE COURT: Well, then I see no reason why as responsible adults, you-all can't work this out.

Mr. Swanson?

MR. SWANSON: Derek Swanson. Thank you, Your Honor. We understand your, your ruling. Can, can we discuss for a moment whether we can -- while we work that out, whether, whether Mr. Ward or his counsel would be willing to, you know,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you know, advise -- you know, instruct the bank to return the money back into the accounts while we work out the third-party conservatorship so that there isn't an adverse consequence of those, those accounts being diminished? MR. WARD: Your Honor, this is Rich Ward again. I'm going to go back to the fact that Kevin took money on May 4, okay, and that right now, he's trying to give the money back, right, because of the exposure. Right now, my recommendation is Kevin is sitting on about two-and-a-half million dollars; I'm sitting on about two-and-a-half million dollars. MR. ASH: It's not --MR. WARD: This is still Rich Ward. And that the company has 30 days of time, right? And so for me, moving money back around is really -- I don't want to, I don't want to move anything. I want to sit down and negotiate this breakup from the position that we're in right now and not spend all this money in legal fees, because the money is not in Kevin's hands or my hands whatsoever. I feel like that this is the best position for us to negotiate a breakup, and I feel that we can do it quickly. MR. ASH: Your Honor --THE COURT: Wait one second. Mr. Ward, are you telling me that you have left

enough money in those accounts that all outstanding checks can

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be honored and that there is enough balance that whatever you need to keep the bonding companies on board is there at least for a 30-day time period? Is that what you're telling me? MR. WARD: I am going to say that yes to your first part of that question. THE COURT: All right. MR. WARD: I'm going to say on your second part of your question about the bonding, I have a text from Kevin Ash that says that the ARIA (phonetic) and the value in our real estate holdings was enough for the bonding. Now, I know that not to be true and was trying to prepare for some other situation, but if the -- for the, for the company and, and my responsibility to the company, I am willing, right, to talk about what that bonding needed, but I have a text that says, "I'm talking to Josh," and this text was with the CFO and me where Kevin said, "We don't need any cash." So at his direction --MR. ASH: We don't need additional cash. I'm sorry, we don't need additional cash. We need the cash we have in the company. MR. WARD: Well --I'm sorry, Your Honor, this is Kevin. MR. ASH: Those are not accurate statements. MR. WARD: So back to your first question, Your Honor, there is enough money for 30 days to pay the checks and

```
1
     to pay employees left in the company if we break the CD.
 2
               MR. ASH: That's, that's --
 3
               THE COURT: Wait a minute. One at a time.
 4
               MR. ASH: That's not accurate.
 5
               THE COURT: Mr. Ash, one at a time.
               MR. ASH: Okay. I'd like an opportunity to speak
 6
 7
     when you're free. Thank you.
 8
               THE COURT: Mr. Ward?
 9
               MR. WARD: My information comes directly from the
10
     CFO.
11
               THE COURT: And how recent was that information,
12
    Mr. Ward?
13
               MR. WARD: Thursday.
14
               THE COURT: So today -- all right. Today is a
15
     Wednesday, so that's six days ago, the CFO told you that there
16
     was -- would be enough money if the CD were cashed or that
17
     there is now enough money in the account to cover all your
18
     outstanding checks?
19
               MR. WARD: If the CD was cashed.
20
               THE COURT: All right. In other words, you have to
21
     return about $2 million, or whatever the CD amount is.
22
               MR. WARD: There's a $2 million CD. There's $983,000
23
     collateralized against it. There's currently about $800,000 of
24
     checks outstanding. There's about $85,000 every two weeks in
25
    payroll, and there's $415,000 available for payroll.
```

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To me, that covers all the checks and the payroll for one month. That should be enough time for me and Ash to negotiate our breakup. THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Ash, what's the problem with breaking the CD and getting that money into the account? MR. ASH: Your Honor, so first and foremost, we have requirements to our surety to have liquidity in the company. These are the cash reserves. What Mr. Ward is speaking about is money that I invested on behalf of the company. I invested \$2 million. 2.215 million has -- is being returned to a specified account that -- I don't want any of this money. I want it all to go back to the company. I -- so the bonding company requires liquidity. we need new bonds, which we have several projects in -- coming forward next year that the -- the ARIA (phonetic) value helps our bonding, you know, for future projects, but the current bonds that we have outstanding, we have a liquidity requirement to meet our obligations. The company with the monies that were invested that are returned prior to the end of the year and all the monies in the accounts that Rich took on Friday mean our total end-of-the-year financial balance. What -- that is the CDs

that Rich is speaking of and the \$2 million that was invested

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
34
that I, you know, was able to gain another $200,000 on, that
goes into the overall project -- or the overall company
financial picture.
          We have a $40 million project active right now.
have an $8.2 million project active right now that is bonded.
We have a $102 million project that's not bonded that counts
against backlog. So our liquidity for all cash is very
important.
          What Rich is speaking of, the accounts that he took
monies out of, he took $800,000 out of our operating account
that we have already written $700,000 in checks against.
checks have been bouncing day in and day out for the last two
days. The bank is making me aware of those.
          The other two accounts that he liquidated have -- one
of them has a mortgage requirement that's due at the end of the
month, and the other one has ongoing invoices that are due. I
would be willing -- if all monies were returned to their proper
spot and let a third-party fiduciary help Rich and I
disseminate what monies need to be allocated, I would be
willing to do that, but he is not speaking in the detail of the
specific accounts that are available for payment to
subcontractors and vendors.
          MR. WARD: Your Honor?
          MR. ASH:
                    Thank you, Your Honor.
```

MR. WARD: Your Honor? I'd like to ask -- I'd like

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

35 you to ask Mr. Ash when he took the \$2 million in May, did he put it into an Ellisdale account, or did he put it into his personal trust account? MR. ASH: I put it in an investment account labeled "Ellisdale," and every month in our balance sheet detail, the second line item says "Cash, TIBCMMA." Every month, that \$2 million is accounted for. And as Rich started this conversation, he knew -- and he had first asked me early on to invest it. I was not prepared to invest it at the beginning of the pandemic, but as the market stabilized, I took his direction and agreed with that direction and invested it on the behalf of the company. THE COURT: Is the Social -- do you have it registered to your Social Security number or to the EIN for Ellisdale? MR. ASH: It is not in a separate EIN account number, Your Honor. It was an investment company that I used. I'm not in the investment world. I'm a construction manager, and I manage the day-to-day operations of our construction and real estate company. I was merely trying to increase the gains of \$2 million that was sitting in a bank making a quarter percent versus what I was able to accomplish and make about 15 percent

for the company.

THE COURT: Yeah, but the point is there'll be tax

- 1 consequences on the money that was earned. To what account is 2 that tax consequence being attributed? 3 The tax consequence is going to be to MR. ASH: 4 Ellisdale. So all of that money is liquidated, and we are 5 trying to put that into an account, and that's what Mr. Swanson was mentioning, that all that money, we would like that money 6 7 to be set aside, and we want Rich to put all the other 8 operating money back to its appropriate accounts so that we can 9 have a separate fiduciary help Rich and I manage through this 10 process. 11 MR. WARD: Your Honor, if you would allow me, I can 12 send you an e-mail from Kevin's CIVC referencing the 13 conversation that says, "Kevin, you're aware that we are going 14 to put this money and that the tax consequences will be 15 attached to your Social Security number, " so what he just said is a lie on the record. 16 17 MR. ASH: Well, it's not a lie. Okay. It's not a 18
 - lie, Rich.
- 19 MR. WARD: I don't --

21

22

23

24

25

- THE COURT: Wait, gentlemen, we can't do it this way because we can't see who you are, and we can't get the voices attributed correctly.
- Look, obviously, you don't agree on many facts, but what you both do seem to agree upon, even though the numbers may not be yet agreed to, is that there's going to be a

significant financial disaster for these entities if their checks bounce and the arrears is not immediately rectified.

All I have before me today is the plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order, and I'm not going to grant a temporary restraining order when the facts are this much in dispute and where it does appear as though the actual victim isn't even a party to this proceeding. The actual victim are these LLCs. They're not a plaintiff or defendant, they're just out there, but it's their reputation, ultimately it will affect both of you financially because if you're trying to sell ultimately these businesses and they're going under, that probably will not look all that attractive, although I have no idea what the market is like these days for LLCs or for real estate development entities.

I strongly recommend now that there are counsel for both sides that you-all get together after this phone conversation and work this out. I will say to you, Mr. Ward, it does appear, and I've only read them quickly, that you probably have violated some of the elements of these agreements that you-all had because it sounds as though there had to be an agreement of all the managers to some of these transactions.

And it also indicates, and it's, it's the same paragraph in all of the, the contracts that you-all have, but if you look at paragraph 13 of all of them, it all says the same thing, which I thought was rather interesting. I'm going

to find it right now.

Paragraph 13 is "Bank Accounts," and it says that withdrawals from any bank account shall be made upon such signature or signatures as the managers may designate, and shall be made only for the purposes of the company, not for purposes of the individuals but the company.

And so both of you, it seems to me -- perhaps if

Mr. Ash did, in fact, correctly invest this money -- and when I

say "correctly," I mean, did it in an account that clearly was

an Ellisdale account and not for anything that might be

perceived as his own personal account, that may have been okay,

but in your case, Mr. Ward, if, in fact, this is simply a way

to leverage your ability to negotiate a resolution of your

ultimate business disputes, I'm not sure you're in compliance

with paragraph 13.

But that's not enough for the Court to engage in the extraordinary relief of a temporary restraining order, so that's the only issue that's before me right now, and I'm denying the motion. I am strongly recommending, because neither side should want to incur the attorneys' fees that go in with serious litigation, and if you're trying really to get these companies up and running for potential sale, then having them viable is important.

And so now that you've got counsel, you can maybe cool down what are obviously some emotions here.

knowing what the numbers are or aren't at this point.

- Businesspeople should never be emotional when they're trying to
 make business decisions; it should be done very rationally; and
 maybe the CFO has to be on board because I have no way of
 - In any case, that's my ruling for today. I hope you can work it out. If not, then things will happen as they happen, but that's -- yes.
 - MR. SWANSON: Your Honor, Derek Swanson. I just wanted to clarify for the record that, you know, with respect to your, your point that the real parties in interest, the companies are not parties, I wanted to clarify that, you know, we styled the case with, with Kevin Ash as the plaintiff, in his capacity as a manager on behalf of those three companies, you know, so I do think that the -- that, you know, the parties in interest are represented by a fiduciary in the case.

We do understand your ruling, and I can assure you that we will be on the phone immediately with Mr. Hickman, and perhaps before the close of business we can, we can make a -- work out an agreement to, if Mr. Hickman is available, to see if we can't reach an agreement in principle to handle these funds in an appropriate way so as not to cause damage to these companies.

THE COURT: Well, I just think that would be everybody's interest, and I hope you can do it, and so I wish you-all luck, but I've ruled on the motion, and we'll get an

```
40
 1
     order out to that effect.
 2
               Thank you very much. You-all stay safe, and have a
 3
     Happy New Year. Bye-bye.
 4
               A VOICE: Happy Holidays.
 5
               MS. IMPERATORE: Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
               A VOICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
                               (Which were all the proceedings
 8
                                had at this time.)
 9
10
                       CERTIFICATE OF THE REPORTER
11
          I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of
12
     the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
13
14
15
                                                 /s/
                                         Anneliese J. Thomson
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```